The Standard Model of Particle Physics

The Standard Model is a theory of the strong, weak and electromagnetic forces, formulated in the language of quantum gauge field theories, and of the elementary particles that take part in these interactions. It does, however, not include gravity. Interactions are mediated by the exchange of virtual particles.

Particle Content

Matter particles:

Fermions (half-integer spin, s = ½ħ) and their antiparticles. There are 3 families (generations) of fermion fields, which are identical except for their masses. Fermions come as leptons and quarks.

Mediator particles:

Gauge bosons (integer spin, s = 1ħ).

There are 3 types of gauge bosons, corresponding to the 3 interactions described by the Standard Model.

Higgs particle:

Needed to explain that the symmetries of the electroweak theory are broken to the residual gauge symmetry of QED. Particles that interact with the Higgs field cannot propagate at the speed of light and acquire masses through coupling to the Higgs boson (s = 0ħ).

Leptons

History of the Standard Model

- **1964** Quarks (u,d,s) are proposed by M. Gell-Mann and G. Zweig.
- **1964** The Higgs mechanism is developed by R. Brout, F. Englert, P. Higgs, G. Guralnik, C. Hagen, T. Kibble
- **1965** Color is proposed by O. W. Greenberg, M. Y. Han, Y. Nambu.
- **1967** S. Weinberg, Sh. Glashow and A. Salam create the electroweak theory, unifying the electromagnetic and weak nuclear forces (Nobel prize in 1979), and incorporate the Higgs mechanism to generate mass.
- **1969** J. Friedman, H. Kendall, R. Taylor find substructure of the proton (first evidence of quarks) in a deep elastic scattering experiment.
- **1970** GIM mechanism: fourth quark (c) allows a theory that suppresses flavor-changing neutral currents, mediated by the Z boson.
- **1970** Formulation of a quantum theory of the strong interaction (Quantum Chromodynamics, QCD) by H. Fritzsch and M. Gell-Mann.
- **1971** Renormalizability of Yang-Mills theories with spontaneous symmetry breaking (G. t'Hooft, M. Veltman)
- **1973** Asymptotic freedom by D. Politzer, D. Gross, F. Wilczek.

History of the Standard Model

- **1974** The Standard Model of particle physics is presented in its modern form by J. Iliopoulos.
- **1974** The charm quark is observed at SLAC (B. Richter et al.) and at Brookhaven (S. Ting et al.), through the discovery of the J/ψ .
- **1975** Evidence of the tau lepton is found at SLAC (M. Perl et al.).
- **1977** Evidence of the bottom quark (proposed in 1973 by M. Kobayashi, T. Maskawa) is found at Fermilab (L. Lederman et al.).
- **1983** The W and Z bosons, mediators of the weak-force, are discovered at CERN (C. Rubbia et al.).
- **1995** Evidence for the top quark, the final undiscovered quark, is found at Fermilab.
- **2000** The tau neutrino, the last missing lepton, is observed at Fermilab's DONUT experiment.
- **Today** The search for the Higgs particle (and violations of the Standard Model…) is on!

Leptons

There are six leptons (and their antiparticles), classified according to their lepton number (L_e, L_μ, L_τ) **and their electric charge (Q).**

6

Quarks

There are six quarks (and six antiquarks), in three "colors" (and "anticolors"), with baryon number $B = \pm 1/3$ and fractional electric charges.

Quark Model

S: Strangeness (S = - 1 for s quark)

Mesons are made of quark-antiquark pairs, baryons consist of 3 quarks.

Strong Isospin

Proton and neutron have about the same mass. Therefore it was convenient to order them into a doublet:

In other words, they are the same particle with respect to the strong interaction (same "strong isospin" I) but with a different third component of strong isospin (I_3) . $|p\rangle = \left|\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$ $|n\rangle = \left|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$

 $|\pi^+\rangle = |1, +1\rangle, |\pi^0\rangle = |1, 0\rangle,$ and $|\pi^-\rangle = |1, -1\rangle$

Going to quarks, isopin is a quantum number that distinguishes flavor. Each of the 3 lighter quarks has a different orientation of I₃: $I_3(u) = \frac{1}{2}, I_3(d) = -\frac{1}{2}, I_3(s) = 0$

Many particle properties can be related to specific symmetries.

Hadrons: Mesons and Baryons

Interactions

Neutrinos have only weak interactions, charged leptons experience weak and electromagnetic interactions, quarks have strong, weak and electromagnetic interactions.

In the classical Standard Model, neutrinos are massless.

Fermions come in left-handed weak isospin doublets and right-handed singlets, quarks come in color triplets.

Left-handed doublets, right-handed singlets

Left-handed chiral fermion doublets and right-handed singlets:

$$
\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ l \end{pmatrix}_{L} \qquad \mathbf{R} = l_R \, , \, (v_l)_R \qquad \qquad (l = e, \mu, \tau)
$$

If neutrinos are massless, as in the classical Standard Model, we would only have $R = l_R$.

T3 … 3rd component of weak isospin

$$
T_{3L} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad T_{3R} = 0
$$

Similarly for quarks: \mathbf{z} *c s* $\sqrt{2}$ \setminus $\binom{c}{c}$ Į \vert *L t b* $\sqrt{2}$ \setminus $\binom{t}{k}$ Į \vert *L u d* $\sqrt{2}$ \setminus $\binom{u}{d}$ \int \vert *L , u_R, d_R* $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$, c_R , s_R $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$, b_R , t_R

12 **Only left-handed chiral particle states (or right-handed chiral antiparticle states) take part in the weak interaction.** \overline{a}

Weak Isospin

Leptons and quarks have another quantum number: weak isospin.

Weak isospin connects quark and lepton doublets of left-handed particles, in each generation. Left-handed fermions (fermions with negative chirality) have $T = \frac{1}{2}$ and can be grouped into doublets with $T_3 = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ that **behave the same under the weak interaction.**

$$
T_3(u_L) = T_3(c_L) = T_3(t_L) = \frac{1}{2}, T_3(d_L) = T_3(s_L) = T_3(b_L) = -\frac{1}{2}
$$

\n
$$
T_3(e_L) = T_3(\mu_L) = T_3(\tau_L) = -\frac{1}{2}, T_3(\nu_{eL}) = T_3(\nu_{\mu L}) = T_3(\nu_{\tau L}) = \frac{1}{2}
$$

In analogy to the Gell-Mann-Nishijima formula $(Q = I_3 + Y/2; Y = B+S)$:

$$
Y_{\mathrm{W}}=2\left(Q-T_{3}\right)
$$

Y_W ... weak hypercharge

Helicity

Helicity (*h***) corresponds to the sign of the projection of spin onto the direction of motion. It is, however, not Lorentz invariant. This can be seen if the inertial system in the right-handed case moves at a speed faster** than $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}$: *h* changes from +1 to -1.

For a massless particle there is no inertial system that can move faster than the speed of light, therefore for such particles *h* **is Lorentz invariant. For massless particles, helicity is also the same as chirality.**

Spinors, Dirac Equation

Dirac equation for a free fermion with mass *m***:** $(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m) \psi(x) = 0$

$$
\psi(x) \dots \text{4-component Dirac spinor, } x = (t, x, y, z) \qquad \psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}
$$

4 fundamental solutions:

$$
\psi_1 = u_1 e^{i(\overrightarrow{p}\overrightarrow{r} - Et)} \qquad \psi_3 = v_1 e^{-i(\overrightarrow{p}\overrightarrow{r} - Et)} \n\psi_2 = u_2 e^{i(\overrightarrow{p}\overrightarrow{r} - Et)} \qquad \psi_4 = v_2 e^{-i(\overrightarrow{p}\overrightarrow{r} - Et)} \n\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \end{array}\right)
$$

$$
u_1 = N \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{p_z/(|E|+m)}{(p_x+ip_y)/(|E|+m)} \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
u_2 = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{(p_x-ip_y)/(|E|+m)} \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
u_1 = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{(p_x+ip_y)/(|E|+m)} \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
v_1 = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{p_z/(|E|+m)}{2m} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
v_2 = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{p_x-ip_y}{|E|+m} \\ -\frac{p_z}{|E|+m} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
v_2 = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{p_x-ip_y}{|E|+m} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
v_1 = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{p_z/(|E|+m)}{2m} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

15 u_1, u_2 describe a particle, v_1, v_2 an antiparticle. The spin of u_1 , v_1 is in +z-direction, the spin of u_2 , v_2 in -z-direction.

Chirality

The eigenstates of the chirality operator γ^5 are defined as left-handed (u_L, v_L) and right-handed (u_R, v_R) chiral states:

$$
\gamma^5 u_R = +u_R, \gamma^5 u_L = -u_L, \gamma^5 v_R = -v_R, \gamma^5 v_L = +v_L
$$

Projection operators project out the chiral eigenstates:

$$
P_R = \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma^5) \qquad P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^5)
$$

\n
$$
P_R u_R = u_R \qquad P_R u_L = 0 \qquad P_L u_R = 0 \qquad P_L u_L = u_L
$$

\n
$$
P_R v_R = 0 \qquad P_R v_L = v_L \qquad P_L v_R = v_R \qquad P_L v_L = 0
$$

PR **projects out right-handed particle states and left-handed antiparticle states.**

We can write any spinor in the form of its chiral components:

$$
\psi = \psi_R + \psi_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma^5)\psi + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5)\psi
$$

Dirac and Pauli Matrices

Dirac (^γ **) matrices (4 x 4)**

$$
\gamma^0 = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) \hspace{3cm} \gamma^i = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \underline{0} & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & \underline{0} \end{array} \right)
$$

$$
\gamma^5 = \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \underline{0} & \underline{1} \\ \underline{1} & \underline{0} \end{array} \right)
$$

Pauli matrices (2 x 2)

$$
\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Group Structure of the Standard Model

In a gauge theory there is a group of transformations of the field variables (gauge transformations) that leaves the physics of the quantum field unchanged. This condition is called gauge invariance.

The Standard Model is a gauge theory. It is based on the symmetry group:

 $\mathbf{SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y}$

The gauge symmetry is broken by the vacuum. The electroweak group is broken down to the electromagnetic subgroup through Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (SSB) to:

$$
\mathbf{SU}(3)_{\mathbf{C}}\otimes \mathbf{U}(1)_{\mathbf{QED}}
$$

SSB generates the masses of the weak gauge bosons, and gives rise to a scalar particle, the Higgs particle. The fermion masses are also generated through SSB.

Group Theory

Let us consider the transformation U of a wave function ψ**:**

$$
\psi' = U\psi
$$

If U is a continuous transformation, U has the form:

$$
U = e^{i\Lambda} \qquad \Lambda \dots \text{ operator}
$$

If Λ is a hermitian operator ($\Lambda = \Lambda^+ = \Lambda^{*T}$), U is a unitary transformation:

 $U=e^{i\Lambda}$ $U^+=(e^{i\Lambda})^*T=e^{-i\Lambda^*T}=e^{-i\Lambda} \implies UU^+=e^{i\Lambda}e^{-i\Lambda}=1$

Remark: U is not a hermitian operator since $U\neq U^{+}$

Λ **is called a** *generator* **of U.**

 The following four properties define a group:

 1) Closure: If A and B are elements of the group, AoB is also an element.

 2) Identity: For all group elements A: IoA=A.

 3) Inverse: For each group element there is an inverse element such that AoA-1=I.

 4) Associativity: If A,B,C are group elements, Ao(BoC)=(AoB)oC are also elements.

The group is *abelian* **if the commutativity relation holds: AoB= BoA** The group is *special* if the determinant is det $U = 1$.

Remarks: Transformation with only one parameter Λ yields the unitary abelian group U(1). The group $SU(2)$ is an example of a non-abelian group.

Symmetries

Interactions among fundamental particles are described by symmetry principles. Every symmetry of nature yields a conservation law, every conservation law reveals an underlying symmetry (Noether's theorem). Examples:

All fundamental interactions are invariant under local gauge transformations.

Dynamics among fundamental particles are described by a "Lagrange density" or "Lagrangian", which depends on the field and its derivative. Lagrangian of a free fermion with mass *m***:**

$$
\mathcal{L}_0 = i \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi(x) - m \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x)
$$

Global and Local Gauge Transformations

Transformation: *U*: Group of all unitary matrices. Simplest case: $U(1)$, $U = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta = real constant$. \mathcal{L}_0 is invariant under *global U(1)* transformation: $\psi \to U\psi$

$$
\psi(x) \quad \to \quad \psi'(x) = e^{i\theta}\psi(x)
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_0 \to i e^{-i\theta} \psi(x) \gamma^\mu e^{i\theta} \partial_\mu \psi(x) - m e^{-i\theta} \bar{\psi}(x) e^{i\theta} \psi(x) = \mathcal{L}_0
$$

If one allows the phase transformation to depend on space-time $(\theta = \theta(x))$, \mathcal{L}_0 is no longer invariant under this *local* transformation, because:

$$
\partial_{\mu}\psi(x)\to e^{i\theta}\psi(x)\,\left(\partial_{\mu} + i\partial_{\mu}\theta\right)\,\psi(x)
$$

This means that once a given phase convention has been adopted at a reference point, the same convention must be taken at all space points -> unnatural! The gauge principle is the requirement that the *U(1)* phase invariance should hold locally.

Covariant Derivative

Try to add extra piece to the Lagrangian, a new spin-1 field $A_\mu(x)$, transforming as:

$$
A_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow A'_{\mu}(x) = A_{\mu}(x) - \frac{1}{e} \partial_{\mu} \theta
$$

One also defines the covariant derivative:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi(x):=[\partial_{\mu}+ieA_{\mu}(x)]\ \psi(x)
$$

The covariant derivative transforms just like the field itself:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi(x) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi)'(x) = e^{i\theta}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi(x)
$$

We achieve a Langrangian invariant under local gauge transformations:

$$
\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) = \mathcal{L}_{0} - eA_{\mu}(x)\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\psi(x)
$$

Quantum Electrodynamics (QED)

The gauge principle has generated an interaction between the Dirac spinor and the gauge field *A*µ.

To get the complete Langrangian for QED we have to add a kinetic term and in principle a mass term:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{kin} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x) \qquad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \qquad \text{electromagnetic field} strength (tensor)
$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{1}{2} m^2 A^{\mu} A_{\mu}$ This term violates gauge invariance, therefore the photon mass must be 0!

$$
\mathcal{L}_{QED} = \bar{\psi}(x)(i\gamma^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu} - m)\psi(x) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}(x)F^{\mu\nu}(x)
$$

 $\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu}=J^{\nu}=e\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\psi$ Maxwell equations

*J*ν … fermion electromagnetic current

Lepton Anomalous Magnetic Moments

The most stringent experimental tests of QED come from the high-precision measurements of the electron (and muon) magnetic moments:

$$
\mu_e = \frac{1}{2} g \mu_0 \qquad \mu_0 = \frac{e}{2m} \quad \dots \text{Bohr's Magneton}
$$
\n"Anomaly" of the magnetic moment:

\n
$$
a = \frac{g-2}{2}
$$

a_e arises entirely from virtual electrons and photons. These contributions are fully known to $O(\alpha^4)$; $\alpha = e^2/4\pi \approx 1/137$ is the QED coupling constant (fine structure constant).

$$
a_e = (1\ 159\ 652\ 180.85 \pm 0.76) \cdot 10^{-12}
$$

 a_e provides also the most accurate determination of α :

 α^{-1} = 137.035 999 719 + 0.000 000 096

Quantum Chromodynamics

Besides the electric charge quarks also have a color charge. Gluons also have color charges, which are however not pure but mixed. The theory of the strong interaction is called quantum chromodynamics.

Introduction of Color

In order to satisfy Fermi-Dirac statistics, Quarks have 3 color degrees of freedom: $N_c = 3$ "(red, blue, green)".

$$
\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{b}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Example $\Delta^{++} = |u \uparrow u \uparrow u \uparrow > \qquad J^P = 3/2^+$

€ Angular momentum J Parity P: symmetry under spatial (mirror) transformations $(x \rightarrow -x, y \rightarrow -y, z \rightarrow -z)$

The wave function of the Δ^{++} is totally symmetric without color:

 $\Psi_{\text{qqq}} = \Psi \text{ }_{\text{space}} \Psi \text{ }_{\text{spin}} \Psi \text{ }_{\text{flavor}}$

Restore asymmetry by introducing $ψ_{color}$, which is totally antisymmetric: $\Psi_{qqq} = \Psi_{\text{space}} \Psi_{\text{spin}} \Psi_{\text{flavor}} \Psi_{\text{color}}$

Color and Confinement

For baryons and mesons (quarks q_{α} , $\alpha = 1.2.3$ for red, green, blue) the color term can be expressed as:

$$
B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} |q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}q_{\gamma}\rangle \qquad M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \delta^{\alpha\beta} |q_{\alpha}\bar{q}_{\beta}\rangle
$$

 $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ (Levi-Civita symbol) is +1 for even permutations of α,β,γ (1,2,3; 2,3,1; 3,1,2), -1 for odd permutations (1,3,2; 3,2,1; 2,1,3), and 0 for $\alpha = \beta$ or $\beta = \gamma$ or $\gamma = \alpha$.

 $δ^{αβ}$ (Kronecker delta) is 1 for $α=β$, and 0 for $α=β$.

Summation over equal indices is implied.

Baryons and mesons appear only in color-singlet combinations.

We observe no free particles with non-zero color. Free quarks can therefore not be observed, they are *confined* inside the hadrons, just like the gluons. 27

Gluons

Gluons can have mixed colors:

b
\n
$$
\overrightarrow{2000}
$$
\n
$$
\overrightarrow{10}
$$

The red quark turns into a blue quark, emitting a red-antiblue gluon.

Are there 9 gluons? : $r\bar{r}, r\bar{b}, r\bar{g}, b\bar{r}, b\bar{b}, b\bar{g}, g\bar{r}, g\bar{b}, g\bar{g}$ In terms of color $SU(3)_C$ symmetry, these 9 states constitute a color octet $(1 > ... |8 >)$ and a singlet $(|9 >):$

$$
|1\rangle = (r\bar{b} + b\bar{r})/\sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
|2\rangle = -i (r\bar{b} - b\bar{r})/\sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
|3\rangle = (r\bar{r} - b\bar{b})/\sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
|4\rangle = (r\bar{g} + g\bar{r})/\sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
|5\rangle = -i (r\bar{g} - g\bar{r})/\sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
|6\rangle = (b\bar{g} + g\bar{b})/\sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
|7\rangle = -i (b\bar{g} - g\bar{b})/\sqrt{2}
$$

\n
$$
|8\rangle = (r\bar{r} + b\bar{b} - 2g\bar{g})/\sqrt{6}
$$

\n
$$
|9\rangle = (r\bar{r} + b\bar{b} + g\bar{g})/\sqrt{3}
$$

Confinement requires that all naturally occurring particles are color singlets (color invariant), therefore the octet never appears as free particles. However, |9> is a singlet! Could it be the photon or another particle giving rise to a longrange force with strong coupling? **NO!** Our world would be different...

Experimental Proof of Color

Measurement of the ratio of the total cross sections for e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons and muons:

$$
R = \frac{\sigma (e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons})}{\sigma (e^+e^- \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}
$$

f … quark flavors u, d, s, c, b, t $N_C \ldots$ number of color charges

Since the 3 color states have identical electric charges, the cross section for the production of quark pairs of a certain flavor type should be proportional to the number of color charges.

$$
\sigma(e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}) = N_C (q_u^2 + q_d^2 + q_s^2...) \sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)
$$

$$
R_0 = \sigma(e^+e^- \to q\bar{q})/\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-) = N_C (q_u^2 + q_d^2 + q_s^2...)
$$

Taking into account higher orders (e.g. 3-jet events) yields:

29 $R = R_0 (1 + \alpha_s(Q^2)/\pi + ...)$ Q^2 ... momentum transfer

σ ($e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons) = σ ($e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q} + q\bar{q}g + q\bar{q}gg + q\bar{q}q\bar{q} + ...$)

R is almost constant, as $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ dominates.

31

World Average on R

 $N_c = 3$

32

2-Jet and 3-Jet Events, α_s

The ratio between 3-jet and 2-jet events can be used to measure $\alpha_s = g_s / 4\pi$.

Running Coupling Constant

 α_s (m_Z²) = 0.118 ± 0.002

QCD Non-Abelian Gauge Symmetry

Global SU(3)_C transformations in color space for q_f^{α} , a quark field of flavor *f* and color α :

$$
q_f^{\alpha} \to (q_f^{\alpha})' = U^{\alpha}_{\beta} q_f^{\beta} \qquad UU^{\dagger} = U^{\dagger} U = 1 \ \det U = 1
$$

The SU(3)_C matrices can be written in the form: $U = exp\{i\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\theta^a\}$

 θ^a ... arbitrary parameters $\lambda^{a/2}$ (a = 1, ..., 8) ... generators of the fundamental representation of SU(3)_C

 $\lambda^a \dots$ 3-dimensional Gell-Mann matrices $\left[\frac{\lambda^a}{2}, \frac{\lambda^b}{2}\right] = i f^{abc} \frac{\lambda^c}{2}$ *f abc* … *structure constants* (real, antisymm.)

Similar to QED, we require that the QCD Lagrangian be also invariant under local SU(3)_C transformations $\theta^a = \theta^a(x)$ by using covariant derivatives:

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\mu}q_{f} = \left[\partial^{\mu} + ig_{s}\frac{\lambda^{a}}{2}G^{\mu}_{a}(x)\right]q_{f}
$$

 g_s is the strong coupling constant.

Since there are 8 gauge parameters, we need 8 gluon fields $(a=1,..,8)$: $G_a^{\mu}(x)$

QCD Gauge Transformations

The gauge transformation of the gluon fields is more complicated than the one obtained in QED for the photon, as the non-commutativity of the $SU(3)_C$ matrices gives rise to an additional term involving the gluon fields themselves.

$$
G_a^{\mu} \to (G_a^{\mu})' = G_a^{\mu} - \frac{1}{g_s} \partial^{\mu} (\delta \theta_a) - f^{abc} \delta \theta_b G_c^{\mu}
$$

Introduce field strengths, to build the gauge invariant kinetic term for the gluon fields:

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\mu}q_{f} = [\partial^{\mu} + ig_{s} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2} G^{\mu}_{a}(x)] q_{f} = [\partial^{\mu} + ig_{s} G^{\mu}(x)] q_{f}
$$

$$
G^{\mu\nu}_{a}(x) = \partial^{\mu} G^{\nu}_{a} - \partial^{\nu} G^{\mu}_{a} - g_{s} f^{abc} G^{\mu}_{b} G^{\nu}_{c}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} G_a^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a + \sum_f \bar{q}_f^\alpha (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_f) q_f^\alpha
$$

Decomposition of the QCD Lagrangian

We can decompose L_{OCD} into its different pieces:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} (\partial^{\mu} G^{\nu}_{a} - \partial^{\nu} G^{\mu}_{a}) (\partial_{\mu} G^a_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} G^a_{\mu}) + \sum_{f} \bar{q}^{\alpha}_{f} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{f}) q^{\alpha}_{f} \qquad (a)
$$

$$
-g_s G_a^{\mu} \sum_f \bar{q}_f^{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} (\frac{\lambda^a}{2})_{\alpha\beta} q_f^{\alpha}
$$
 (b)

$$
+\frac{g_s}{2}f^{abc}(\partial^{\mu}G^{\nu}_a-\partial^{\nu}G^{\mu}_a)G^{\mu}_bG^{\mu}_c-\frac{g_s^2}{4}f^{abc}f_{ade}G^{\mu}_b)G^{\mu}_cG^d_{\mu}G^e_{\nu}
$$
 (c)

- (a) Kinetic terms for the gluon and quark fields
- (b) Color interaction between quarks and gluons
- (c) Cubic and quartic gluon self-interactions

Electroweak Interaction

Low-energy information was sufficient to determine the structure of modern electroweak theory. The W and Z were introduced, and their masses correctly estimated before their experimental discovery.

Charged Currents

Only left-handed fermions and right-handed antifermions couple to the W. Therefore, parity P and charge conjugation C (particle \leq > antiparticle) are maximally violated. *CP* is still conserved.

The W couple to the fermion doublets, where the electric charges of the two fermion partners differ by one unit. The decay channels of the W- are then:

$$
W^- \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e, \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu, \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau, d' \bar{u}, s' \bar{c}
$$

All fermion doublets couple to the W with the same universal strength.

The doublet partners of the u, c and t appear to be mixtures of the three charge -1/3 quarks, related through the unitary Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix:

$$
\left[\begin{pmatrix}d'\\s'\\b'\end{pmatrix}\right]=\mathbf{V_{CKM}}\begin{pmatrix}d\\s\\b\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}V_{ud}&V_{us}&V_{ub}\\V_{cd}&V_{cs}&V_{cb}\\V_{td}&V_{ts}&V_{tb}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}d\\s\\b\end{pmatrix}\right]
$$

M. Kobayashi T. Maskawa 2008

The weak eigenstates are different from the mass eigenstates. V characterizes flavor mixing, e.g. V_{ud} specifies coupling of *u* to $d \rightarrow u + W$.

$SU(2)$, \otimes $U(1)_Y$

Gauge invariance was crucial for determining the right QED and QCD Lagrangians. For weak interactions it is more complicated, since we have several fermionic flavors and different properties for left- and right-handed fields. Moreover, the left-handed fields should appear in doublets, and the gauge bosons W and Z should be massive, since the weak interaction is of short range. If we want to include also electromagnetic interactions, we need an additional $U(1)$ group. The obvious group to accommodate all this is:

$\mathbf{SU}(2)_\mathbf{L}\otimes\mathbf{U}(1)_\mathbf{Y}$

L refers to left-handed fields, $Y_{(W)}$ is the weak hypercharge (naïve identification with electromagnetism does not work). For left-handed leptons $Y_{\text{w}} = -1$, and for right-handed leptons $Y_{\text{w}} = -2$.

Leptonic Sector of SU(2)_L[®] U(1)_Y

$$
SU(2)_{L} \text{ doublet:} \qquad L = \left(\begin{array}{c} \nu \\ e \end{array}\right)_{L} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_{5})\left(\begin{array}{c} \nu \\ e \end{array}\right)
$$

Singlet:
$$
R = e_R = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5)e
$$

Transformation under $SU(2)_L$: $U_L = exp\{i\alpha^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2}\}\$ $(a = 1,2,3)$ and under $U(1)_Y$: $L \to L' = e^{i\beta \frac{Y_L}{2}} L$, $R \to R' = e^{i\beta \frac{Y_R}{2}} R$ $L \to L' = e^{i\alpha^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2}} L, \qquad R \to R' = R$

Global transformations under $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ in flavor space:

$$
L' = e^{i\beta \frac{Y_L}{2}} U_L L
$$

$$
R' = e^{i\beta \frac{Y_R}{2}} R
$$

Leptonic Sector of SU(2)_L[®] U(1)_Y

We require the Lagrangian to be invariant under local gauge transformations $[\alpha_i = \alpha_i(x), \beta = \beta(x)]$ and introduce covariant derivatives as in QED. Since there are 4 gauge parameters, 4 different gauge bosons are needed:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ig \frac{\sigma^a}{2} W^a_{\mu} + ig' \frac{Y}{2} B_{\mu}
$$

Explicitly for L and R lepton states:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}L = [\partial_{\mu} + ig\frac{\sigma^a}{2}W_{\mu}^a(x) + ig'\frac{Y_L}{2}B_{\mu}(x)]L
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}R = [\partial_{\mu} + ig'\frac{Y_R}{2}B_{\mu}(x)]R
$$

We have the correct number of gauge bosons, since we need the photon and 3 intermediate vector bosons W±, Z.

Lagrangian of $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$

The complete electroweak Lagrangian is actually quite complicated, impossible to derive in the timeframe of this lecture.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{EW} = \mathcal{L}_{G} + \mathcal{L}_{F} + \mathcal{L}_{S} + \mathcal{L}_{Y} + \mathcal{L}_{fix} + \mathcal{L}_{gh}
$$

 \mathcal{L}_{G} pure gauge-field, \mathcal{L}_{F} fermion-gauge field, \mathcal{L}_{S} scalar, \mathcal{L}_Y fermion-scalar (Yukawa), \mathcal{L}_{fix} gauge fixing, \mathcal{L}_{gh} ghosts

Kinetic term for the gauge fields, which also includes self-interactions of the gauge bosons:

$$
\mathcal{L}_G = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}W^i_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu}_i
$$

Field strengths:

$$
B_{\mu\nu}=\partial_\mu B_\nu-\partial_\nu B_\mu
$$

Note: No mass term allowed, since it would violate gauge symmetry by mixing left- and right-handed fields.

Example for fermionic mass term: $\mathcal{L}_m = -m\bar{\psi}\psi = -m(\bar{\psi}_L\psi_R + \bar{\psi}_R\psi_L)$ λ*a*

43 Absence of mass is fine for the photon, but we need heavy vector bosons in order to get short-range weak interactions! *D^µq^f* = [∂*^µ* + *ig^s*

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

In order to generate masses, we need to break the gauge symmetry. How is this possible with a symmetric Lagrangian (which is also needed to preserve renormalizability of a theory)?

-> By choosing a Lagrangian that is invariant under a group of transformations, and that has a degenerate set of states with minimal energy.

The particle has to choose a state with minimal energy -> symmetry is broken (actually hidden).

Y. Nambu 2008

Goldstone Theorem

Consider a complex scalar field $\phi(x)$, with a Lagrangian invariant under global phase transformations of φ*(x)* and with potential *V*:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \partial_{\mu} \phi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi), \qquad V(\phi) = \mu^{2} \phi^{\dagger} \phi + h(\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^{2}
$$

In order to have a ground state, the potential should be bounded from below, i.e. $h > 0$. For the quadratic term there are 2 possibilities:

 $\mu^2 > 0$: The potential has only the trivial minimum $\phi(x) = 0$. It describes a massive scalar particle with mass μ and quartic coupling h .

 μ^2 < 0: The minimum is obtained for those field configurations with:

$$
|\phi_0| = \sqrt{\frac{-\mu^2}{2h}} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} > 0
$$

Goldstone Theorem

Due to the U(1) phase invariance of the Lagrangian, there is an infinite number of degenerate states of minimum energy:

$$
\phi_0(x) = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\theta}
$$

If we choose a particular solution as the ground state, e.g. $\theta = 0$, the symmetry gets spontaneously broken. We can parameterize the excitations over the ground state as: $V(\phi)$

$$
\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[v + \eta(x) + i\xi(x)]
$$

\n
$$
V(\phi) = V(\phi_0) - \mu^2 \eta^2 + h v \eta(\eta^2 + \xi^2) + \frac{h}{4}(\eta^2 + \xi^2)^2
$$

\n
$$
\eta
$$
 describes a massive state of mass $-2\mu^2$,
\n ξ a massless state.
\nGoldstone theorem: SSB of a continuous global
\nsymmetry is always accompanied by one or more
\nmassless scalar (spin 0) particles (Goldstone bosons).

The Higgs Sector

Obviously, the Goldstone theorem did not solve our problem of massive gauge bosons. However, what happens if we have a *local* gauge symmetry? We try to introduce a new doublet of complex, scalar fields with weak hypercharge $Y_{\phi} = 1$ to accomplish the breaking of electroweak symmetry, leaving the electromagnetic gauge subgroup $U(1)_{em}$ unbroken:

$$
\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+(x) \\ \phi^0(x) \end{pmatrix}
$$

It is coupled to the gauge fields through the scalar Lagrangian, which is invariant under local $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ transformations:

$$
\mathcal{L}_S = (\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \Phi - V(\Phi) = (\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \Phi - \mu^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - h(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2 \qquad h > 0, \mu^2 < 0
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ig \frac{\sigma^a}{2} W^a_{\mu} + ig' \frac{Y_{\Phi}}{2} B_{\mu}
$$

The potential $V(\Phi)$ is constructed in such a way that Φ has a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value: $\langle \Phi \rangle$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$
\Phi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{47}
$$

Higgs-Kibble Mechanism

 $\Phi(x)$ can be written in the form:

$$
\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+(x) \\ (v + H(x) + i\chi(x))/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}
$$

The components $\phi^+(x)$, *H*, χ have vacuum expectation values 0. The local $SU(2)$ _I invariance of the Lagrangian allows to rotate away ("unitary gauge") any dependence on ϕ^+ and χ . This means that these are unphysical, they correspond to 3 "ghosts" or Goldstone bosons (remember, ϕ^+ is complex, with 2 real parameters).

In this particular gauge, the **Higgs field** has the simple form:

$$
\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H(x) \end{pmatrix}
$$

The real field *H(x)* describes physical, neutral particles with mass $m_H = \mu\sqrt{2}$. Vacuum expectation value: $v = 246$ GeV.

The Higgs Boson

The scalar Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_S = (\mathcal{D}_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \mathcal{D}^\mu \Phi - \mu^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi - h (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2$ has introduced a new scalar particle, the Higgs boson H. In terms of the physical fields, in the unitary gauge, \mathcal{L}_s takes the form:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{S} = \frac{1}{4}hv^{4} + \mathcal{L}_{H} + \mathcal{L}_{HG^{2}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{H} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}H\partial^{\mu}H - \frac{1}{2}m_{H}^{2}H^{2} - \frac{m_{H}^{2}}{2v}H^{3} - \frac{m_{H}^{2}}{8v^{2}}H^{4}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{HG^{2}} = m_{W}^{2}W_{\mu}^{\dagger}W^{\mu}\left\{1 + \frac{2}{v}H + \frac{H^{2}}{v^{2}}\right\} + \frac{1}{2}m_{Z}^{2}Z_{\mu}Z^{\mu}\left\{1 + \frac{2}{v}H + \frac{H^{2}}{v^{2}}\right\}
$$

Higgs couplings to the gauge bosons:

49

Higgs Boson at LHC (CMS Experiment)

Higgs Boson Mass Limits

Direct search at LEP ended 2000. Result: $m_H > 114.4$ GeV/c² @ 95% c.l.

W, Z, Photon, Electroweak Unification

The covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ig \frac{\sigma^a}{2} W^a_{\mu} + ig' \frac{Y_{\Phi}}{2} B_{\mu}$ couples the scalar doublet to the $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge bosons. In the unitary gauge the kinetic part of the scalar Lagrangian takes the form:

$$
(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\Phi \to \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}H\partial^{\mu}H + (v+H)^{2}\left\{\frac{g^{2}}{4}W_{\mu}^{\dagger}W\mu + \frac{g^{2}}{8cos^{2}\theta_{W}}Z_{\mu}Z^{\mu}\right\}
$$

with the following transformation from the fields W^a_μ , B^a_μ to the physical W^{\pm} and Z fields: $W_{\mu} = \frac{W_{\mu}^{1} + iW_{\mu}^{2}}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{+iW_\mu^2}{\sqrt{2}}$ $W_\mu^\dagger = \frac{W_\mu^1 - iW_\mu^2}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{-i\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}}$ $W^{\mathbb{I}}, W^{\mathbb{I}}$

$$
W_{\mu} = \frac{V_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad W_{\mu} = \frac{V_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad W_{\mu}, W_{\mu}
$$

\n
$$
A_{\mu} = \cos\theta_{W}B_{\mu} + \sin\theta_{W}W_{\mu}^{3} \qquad \text{Photon } \gamma
$$

\n
$$
Z_{\mu} = -\sin\theta_{W}B_{\mu} + \cos\theta_{W}W_{\mu}^{3} \qquad Z^{0}
$$

The vacuum expectation value of the neutral scalar has generated a quadratic term for the W and Z, these bosons have acquired mass:

$$
m_Z cos \theta_W = m_W = \frac{1}{2}gv
$$
 \boxed{m}

$$
m_Z = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g^2 + {g'}^2} v
$$

 θ_W ... Weinberg angle $(\theta_W \approx 28^0, \sin \theta_W \approx 0.23)$ $e = \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + {g'}^2}}$ $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi}$

53

 4π

Discovery of the W and Z 1983

Experiments UA1 and UA2 at the CERN Super-Proton-Antiproton Collider. Nobel Prize for C. Rubbia and S. van der Meer 1984.

$Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in UA1

×

Fermion Masses

We need not only masses for the W and Z, but also fermion masses (at least for the charged fermions in the classical Standard Model). A fermionic mass term of the form $\mathcal{L}_m = -m\bar{\psi}\psi = -m(\bar{\psi}_L\psi_R + \bar{\psi}_R\psi_L)$ is not allowed since it violates gauge symmetry. Since we have introduced an additional scalar doublet into the model, we can write the following gauge-invariant Yukawa Lagrangian describing fermion-scalar coupling $(f = u, d, e, ...)$:

$$
\mathcal{L}_Y = -\sum_f m_f \bar{\psi}_f \psi_f - \sum_f \frac{m_f}{v} \bar{\psi}_f \psi_f H
$$
\n
$$
m_f = g_f \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad g_f \dots \text{Yukawa couplings}
$$

Yukawa interactions between the massive fermions and the physical Higgs field occur with coupling constants proportional to the fermion masses.

Conclusions

The Standard Model works remarkably well, up to O(100 GeV). Some quantities are determined at the 0.1% level!

Nevertheless:

Neutrino masses are not accommodated in the classical Standard Model. There is the hierarchy problem (stability of the mass of the Higgs particle). There is no unification of coupling constants at very high energies. Gravitation is not included at all. There is no explanation for dark matter or dark energy. We do not know what happened just after the Big Bang.

Therefore:

Particle physicists, astrophysicists and cosmologists need to collaborate in the near future to find the proper extensions to the Standard Model. We have excellent tools, such as accelerators, space probes, ground-based telescopes, underground laboratories. and event nuclear reactors. Precision experiments at ultra-low energies can also contribute. 57

Literature

A. Pich: The Standard Model of Electroweak Interactions, http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.4264

W. Hollik: Electroweak Theory, http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/53/1/002

T. Morii, C.S. Lim, S.N. Mukherjee: The Physics of the Standard Model and Beyond, World Scientific Publishing Co. (2004)

W. Majerotto (ed. S. Kraml, can be obtained from the website of H. Eberl): Skriptum "Einführung in die Modelle der Elementarteilchenphysik (WS / SS)" http://wwwhephy.oeaw.ac.at/helmut/skriptWS.ps http://wwwhephy.oeaw.ac.at/helmut/skriptSS.ps

M. Treichel: Teilchenphysik und Kosmologie, Springer-Verlag (2000)

D. Griffiths: Introduction to Elementary Particles, Wiley VCH, (2008)